



Outline

- Interpolation and polynomial approximation
- Interpolation
 - Lagrange
 - Cubic Splines
- Approximation
 - Bézier curves
 - B-Splines





Outline

- Approximation
 - Bézier curves
 - B-Splines
 - We still focus on curves for the moment.







LIÈGE CAD & Computational Geometry Bézier curves

- Pierre Bézier (1910-1999)
- Develops UNISURF first surface modelling software at Renault's (1971)
- Publicizes the theory under his name in 1962... however, the principle was discovered in 1959 by Paul de Casteljau (at Citroën's) ! Because of the "culture of secret" at Citroën, De Casteljau will have his works recognized only in 1975.









- Use of Bézier curves :
 - Postscript fonts (cubic Bézier) & TrueType (quadratic Bézier)

AaBbCc

- Computer graphics
- In geometrical modeling and CAD, they tend to be replaced by more general techniques (NURBS, a.k.a B-Splines in homogeneous coordinates)





- Modelling by interpolation is not very practical
 - We seldom have interpolation points at our hand
 - Instead, we hope to define these points as the result of a modeling process instead of as an input data
 - Approximation gives more freedom in the design of the curve





Elements of a Bézier curve :



For Bézier curves, the notion of knot is trivial :

$$u_0 = 0$$
 $u_1 = 1$





- Characteristics of Bézier curves
 - More freedom than interpolation
 - Any degree
 - Precise control of the curve's shape
 - Numerical stability even with high degree (not as Lagrange !) $P(u) = \sum P_i B_i^d(u)$
 - The $B_i^d \begin{pmatrix} i=0\\ u \end{pmatrix}$ are Bernstein polynomials (Sergei N. Bernstein, 1880-1968 - don't mistake for Leonard Bernstein...:):
 - They form a complete polynomial basis
 - They are a partition of the unity
 - Sometimes called 'blending functions'
 - The curve is described as one polynomial (unlike splines) 8





Bernstein polynomials

$$B_i^d(u) = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ i \end{pmatrix} u^i (1-u)^{d-i}$$

nomial coefficients





 Binomial coefficients : computed with Pascal's triangle



10





Bernstein polynomials



By design, they form a partition of unity...





- Some characteristics of the B. polynomials.
 - $B_i^d(u) = 0$ if i < 0 or i > d
 - $B_i^d(0) = \delta_{i0}$ and $B_i^d(1) = \delta_{id}$
 - $B_i^d(u)$ has a root of multiplicity *i* for u=0
 - $B_i^d(u)$ has a root of multiplicity d-i for u=1
 - $B_{i}^{d}(u) \ge 0$ for $u \in [0,1]$
 - $B_i^d(1-u) = B_{d-i}^d(u)$ (symmetry of the basis)
 - $B_i^{d} = d \left(B_{i-1}^{d-1}(u) B_i^{d-1}(u) \right)$
 - If $i \neq 0$, $B_i^d(u)$ has a <u>unique</u> maximum at u = i/d $B_{i}^{d}(i/d) = i^{i} d^{-d} (d-i)^{(d-i)} \binom{d}{i}$

LIÈGE CAD & Computational Geometry Université Bézier curves



Recurrence relations of Bernstein's basis

$$B_{i}^{d}(u) = (1-u)B_{i}^{d-1}(u) + uB_{i-1}^{d-1}(u)$$

$$B_{d}^{d}(u) = uB_{d-1}^{d-1}(u) \quad B_{0}^{d}(u) = (1-u)B_{0}^{d-1}(u)$$

- ... but no practical interest other than demonstrating algebraic relations (cf. following)
- These polynomials are usually not computed explicitly

LIÈGE CAD & Computational Geometry



Bézier curves

Degree 4
No negative values Therefore, no value above 1!



LIÈGE CAD & Computational Geometry









The characteristics of Bernstein polynomials involve that the Bézier curve

$$P(u) = \sum_{i=0} P_i B_i^d(u)$$

- interpolates P_0 and P_d ,
- is invariant by affine transformations
- is contained in the convex hull of its control points (because P(u) is a combination with positive coefficients of control points - also called convex combination),





(following)

- is variation diminishing : the curve has less inflexion points (wiggles) than there are undulations of the characteristic polynomial (proof by the fact that a Bézier curve is obtained by recursive subdivision, see further),
- delimits a closed convex domain if the control polygon itself is convex and closed...,
- Its length is smaller than that of the control polygon.





- Same examples as shown earlier on Lagrange interpolation
 - Circle with an increasing number of points
 - Perturbation of the control points































- When the number of control points increases, the curve tends to the control polygon (under the assumption that the control polygon itself converges to a smooth curve ...)
- The approximation involves a substantial error between the curve and the control points
 - However, an interpolation is not the objective here...





- Perturbation of a point
 - We shift the indicated point

























- Editing Bézier curves
 - Degree elevation
 - Computation of points on the curve (De Casteljau's algorithm and others)
 - Changing the range of a curve
 - Cutting, extension
 - Curves defined by pieces and recursive subdivision





- Degree elevation
 - A curve of degree d+1 is able to represent any curve of degree d
 - If there aren't enough control points to design a given shape, the degree may be increased...
 - New control points must be determined (one more !)
 - Forrest's equations [1972]

$$Q_{0} = P_{0}$$

$$Q_{i} = \frac{i}{d+1} P_{i-1} + \left(1 - \frac{i}{d+1}\right) P_{i} \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, d$$

$$Q_{d+1} = P_{d}$$





Degree elevation in practice ...











































- De Casteljau's algorithm
 - Allows the robust construction of points on the curve
 - Very simple geometrical interpretation

LIEGE CAD & Computational Geometry



- Principle of De Casteljau's algorithm
 - Construction of the centroids P_i^1 of the control points P_i^0 : $P_i^1 = (1-u) P_i^0 + u P_{i+1}^0$
 - We continue with P_i^2
 - As far as possible, until only one control point remains, P_0^a That control point is P(u).







Kig







The algorithm is :

Initialization of P_i^0 For *j* from 1 to *d* For *i* from 0 to *d*-*j* $P_i^j = (1-u) P_i^{j-1} + u P_{i+1}^{j-1}$ EndFor EndFor P_0^d is the point we want.

- What is its complexity ?
 - Consists of 3d(d+1) multiplications and 3d(d+1)/2 additions, so quadratic with respect of the the degree d.





- Restriction of a curve (cutting)
 - Let us compute the intersection of two curves
 - We need a independent representation of each segment
 - One wants 0<u<1 on each segment</p>







 Let us start from De Casteljau's geometrical construction P٥

> P1: P³ P_{1}° **P**¹ P°





Let us start from De Casteljau's geometrical construction



The control polygon of the both parts is obtained from points coming from De Casteljau's algorithm !





- Recursive subdivision
 - Allows to draw the curve quickly with the help of De Casteljau's algorithm
 - Idea : splitting up the curve in two parts at u=0.5, then these sub-curves in four parts (still for u*=0.5) and so on.
 - The control points of the sub-curves are obtained like a residual of the De Casteljau algorithm at each step
 - The control points quickly converge toward the curve
 - When the gap between the starting and ending points of each sub-curves is lower than a factor (depends on the resolution), we join simply the points of the characteristic polygon by straight line segments.
 - It's a « divide and conquer » approach a famous paradigm in software engineering.







- Cost of the recursive subdivision algorithm
 - In $O(d^2 \cdot 2^m)$ for *m* levels of subdivision
 - Number of generated points: $d \cdot 2^m$
 - For each point that is generated, the algorithm becomes linear...
 - It is not very accurate, nevertheless very robust.





- Property of affine invariance
 - It is a useful property such that the curves we define for a set of control points can undergo linear affine transformations without hassle.
 - Let P_i^* the affine transformation of the control points P_i
 - Let $P^*(u,P_i)$ the affine transformation of the points of the curve $P(u,P_i)$ defined from the original points P_i
 - Let $P(u,P_i^*)$ the new curve based on the modified control points P_i^* , with the same parametrization.
 - The affine invariance is verified iff P*(u,P_i) = P(u,P_i*) for all u.



• Affine transformations $\phi(\mathbf{P}) \equiv \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{u}$







Let *P* a parametric curve built this way :

$$P(u, P_i) = \sum_{0}^{n-1} P_i K_i^n(u)$$

• Let's verify the invariance by a translation *t*:

$$P(u, P_i^*) = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (P_i + t) K_i^n(u) = \sum_{0}^{n-1} P_i K_i^n(u) + \sum_{0}^{n-1} t K_i^n(u)$$

= $P(u, P_i) + \sum_{0}^{n-1} t K_i^n(u)$ Partition of unity
= $P(u, P_i) + t = P^*(u, P_i)$ iff $\sum_{0}^{n-1} K_i^n(u) = 1$





For the other multiplicative transformations

$$P(u, P_i^*) = \sum_{0}^{n-1} (\mathbf{A} \cdot P_i) K_i^n(u) = \mathbf{A} \cdot \sum_{0}^{n-1} P_i K_i^n(u)$$

= $\mathbf{A} \cdot P(u, P_i) = P^*(u, P_i)$

(no particular conditions except linearity with respect to the coordinates of the control points)

Consequently, iff the basis functions form a *partition of unity*, and the dependence with respect to the control points is *linear*, then the representation is invariant by any affine transformation.





• Case of splines : we had on each interval : $\begin{cases}
a_{[i]0} = x_i \\
a_{[i]1} = x_i' \\
a_{[i]2} = 3(x_{i+1} - x_i) - 2x_i' - x_{i+1}' \\
a_{[i]3} = 2(x_i - x_{i+1}) + x_i' + x_{i+1}'
\end{cases}$

 $x_{[i]}(\bar{u}) = x_i + x_i'\bar{u} + (3(x_{i+1} - x_i) - 2x_i' - x_{i+1}')\bar{u}^2 + (2(x_i - x_{i+1}) + x_i' + x_{i+1}')\bar{u}^3$

• By rearranging equations $x_{[i]}(\bar{u}) = x_i(1 - 3\bar{u}^2 + 2\bar{u}^3) + x_i'(\bar{u} - 2\bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}^3) + x_{i+1}(3\bar{u}^2 - 2\bar{u}^3) + x_{i+1}'(-\bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}^3)$





 In fact, we use Hermite polynomials (for two points), on each interval

$$h_{00}^{p} = 1 - 3 \,\overline{u}^{2} + 2 \,\overline{u}^{3}$$

$$h_{10}^{p} = 3 \,\overline{u}^{2} - 2 \,\overline{u}^{3}$$

$$h_{01}^{p} = \overline{u} - 2 \,\overline{u}^{2} + \overline{u}^{3}$$

$$h_{11}^{p} = -\overline{u}^{2} + \overline{u}^{3}$$





Properties of the Hermite basis





Do Hermite's basis form a partition of unity ?

- Let's check the invariance
 - If we apply a translation to the control points P_i, the derivatives P'_i should not change ...

$$P_{i}^{*} = P_{i} + t \qquad P_{i}^{'*} = P_{i}^{'}$$

$$P(P_{i}^{*}) = \sum_{0}^{1} (P_{i} + t) h_{i0}^{n}(u) + \sum_{0}^{1} P_{i}^{'} h_{i1}^{n}(u)$$

$$= \sum_{0}^{1} t h_{i0}^{n}(u) + \sum_{0}^{1} P_{i} h_{i0}^{n}(u) + \sum_{0}^{1} P_{i}^{'} h_{i1}^{n}(u)$$

$$= t + P(P_{i}) = P^{*}(P_{i})$$

LIEGE CAD & Computational Geometry Partition of unity and affine invariance

 We must also check the invariance for the other multiplicative transformations : those affect both the coordinates and the derivatives

$$P_{i}^{*} = A \cdot P_{i} \qquad P_{i}^{'*} = A \cdot P_{i}^{'}$$
$$P(P_{i}^{*}) = \sum_{0}^{1} (A \cdot P_{i}) h_{i0}^{n}(u) + \sum_{0}^{1} (A \cdot P_{i}^{'}) h_{i1}^{n}(u)$$

$$=A \cdot \left(\sum_{0}^{1} P_{i} h_{i0}^{n}(u) + \sum_{0}^{1} P_{i}^{n} h_{i1}^{n}(u) \right)$$
$$=A \cdot P(P_{i}) = P^{*}(P_{i})$$
OED

- Beware of the computation of the slopes x'_{i} ...
 - Natural Splines :

 $P'_{i} = L(P_{i} - P_{j}) \Rightarrow P'^{*}_{i} = L(P^{*}_{i} - P^{*}_{j}) = L((A \cdot P_{i} + t) - (A \cdot P_{j} + t))$ $= A \cdot L(P_{i} - P_{j}) = A \cdot P'_{i} \quad \text{It is OK in this case}$

- Rotation of 45°
- Scaling x direction (times 0.5)
- Followed by a translation